

<sup>12</sup>Vita-Salute San Raffaele University; IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital and Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy; <sup>13</sup>Astellas, Northbrook, IL, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>15</sup>Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>16</sup>Fred Hutchinson Center and University of Washingto

# **Cisplatin-Ineligible Patients with Advanced Urothelial Carcinoma** have Limited Treatment Options

- Cisplatin-based chemotherapy is the first-line standard of care for advanced urothelial carcinoma and is associated with an overall survival benefit<sup>1</sup>
- Approximately half of patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma in the United States are cisplatin-ineligible<sup>2</sup>
- PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors are approved in the first line for cisplatin-ineligible patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma whose tumors express PD-L1<sup>3,4</sup>
- Objective responses occur in ~20–30% of patients unselected for PD-L1 expression
- Enfortumab vedotin has demonstrated survival benefit in patients who have received both platinum-containing chemotherapy and a PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor<sup>5</sup>
- Cisplatin-ineligible patients have a high unmet need for treatment options after first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors
- To our knowledge EV-201 is the first trial to report results in this patient population<sup>6</sup>
- Previously presented Primary Analysis results of EV-201 Cohort 2 included a 52% Overall Response Rate with a 20% Complete Response Rate, and a median Duration of Response of 10.9 months<sup>5</sup>
- Here we present an updated analysis with an additional 3 months of follow-up
- Primary Analysis Data Cutoff: 08 Sep 2020; 3 month updated Data Cutoff: 04 Dec 2020

### **Enfortumab Vedotin: Nectin-4 Directed Therapy Proposed Mechanism of Action**



Enfortumab vedotin is an investigational agent in some settings, and its safety and efficacy have not been established © 2021 Seagen Inc., Bothell WA 98021. All rights reserved. USM/EVM/2021/0001

# **EV-201: Non-Comparative, Pivotal Phase 2 Trial**



- Maximum dose permitted is 125 mg b. 3 additional patients were enrolled but did not receive enfortumab vedotin due to patient decision, clinical deterioration, and low hemoglobin
- respectivel c. 2 additional patients were enrolled but did not receive enfortumab vedotin due to admission to the hospital for disease progression and hospice care, respectively

## Acknowledgments

Thank you to our patients and their families for their participation in the study, and to all research personnel for their support of this important trial.

#### References

1. von der Maase H, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(21):4602-8. . Galsky MD, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(17):2432-8. 3. Vuky J, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(23):2658-66.

4. Balar AV, et al. Lancet. 2017;389(10064):67-76. . Powles T, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(12):1125-35. Balar AV, et al. ASCO-GU 2021:Abstract 394.

**Disclosures:** This study was funded by Seagen Inc. and Astellas Pharma, Inc. BAM, AVB, JER, MSvdH, YL, AN, and DPP hold a consulting and advisory role with Seagen Inc. and Astellas Pharma, Inc. EYY holds a consulting and advisory role with Seagen Inc. BAM, JER, MSvdH, MRH, DPP received research funding from Seagen Inc. and Astellas Pharma, Inc. AVB, DPP, J-LL, MNS, AN, and EYY received research funding from Seagen Inc. and Astellas Pharma, Inc. J-LL received honoraria from Astellas Pharma, Inc. EIH received honoraria from Astellas Pharma, Inc. Seagen Inc. YL and S-YL received travel/accommodations/expenses from Seagen Inc. and Astellas Pharma, Inc. S-YL and JT are employees of and have ownership interest in Seagen Inc. JLS is an employee of Astellas Pharma, Inc.

# Enfortumab Vedotin in Cisplatin-Ineligible Patients with Locally Advanced or Metastatic Urothelial Cancer who Received Prior PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors: An Updated Analysis of EV-201 Cohort 2

Bradley McGregor, MD<sup>1</sup>; Arjun V. Balar, MD<sup>2</sup>; Jonathan Rosenberg, MD<sup>3</sup>; Michiel van der Heijden, MD<sup>7</sup>; Michael R. Harrison, MD<sup>8</sup>; Elisabeth I. Heath, MD, FACP<sup>9</sup>; Mark N. Stein, MD<sup>10</sup>; Yohann Loriot, MD, PhD<sup>11</sup>; Andrea Necchi, MD<sup>12</sup>; Joyce Steinberg, MD<sup>13</sup>; Shang-Ying Liang, PhD<sup>14</sup>; Janet Trowbridge, MD<sup>14</sup>; Daniel Petrylak, MD<sup>15</sup>; Evan Y. Yu, MD<sup>16</sup> <sup>1</sup>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Perlmutter Cancer Center at NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; <sup>4</sup>The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Amsterdam, Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea; <sup>6</sup>Asan Medical Center and University, Detroit, MI, USA; <sup>10</sup>Columbia University, Detroit, MI, USA; <sup>11</sup>Institut de Cancérologie Gustave Roussy, Paris, France; <sup>10</sup>Columbia University, Detroit, MI, USA; <sup>10</sup>Columbia University, Durham, NC, USA; <sup>11</sup>Institut de Cancérologie Gustave Roussy, Paris, France; <sup>10</sup>Columbia University, Detroit, MI, USA; <sup>10</sup>Columbia Universi

# Key Eligibility Criteria

#### **Key Inclusion Criteria**

- Locally advanced unresectable or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (including divergent differentiation)
- Previously treated with a PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor
- No prior exposure to platinum-containing chemotherapy in the locally advanced or metastatic setting and ineligible for cisplatin-containing chemotherapy due to:
- Impaired renal function (creatinine clearance  $\geq$ 30 and <60 mL/min)
- Hearing loss ≥Grade 2
- ECOG PS score ≥2
- Progression during or following most recent treatment

#### **Key Exclusion Criteria**

- Ongoing sensory or motor neuropathy ≥Grade 2
- Active central nervous system metastases
- Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus<sup>a</sup>

a. Hemoglobin A1C (HbA1c) ≥8% or HbA1c of 7% to <8% with associated diabetes symptoms, polyuria or polydipsia, that were not otherwise explained

# **Patient Disposition**



a. 2 patients did not receive enfortumab vedotin treatment due to admission to the hospital for disease progression and pursuing hospice care, respectively

### **Key Demographics and Disease Characteristics**

Characteristic	Patients (N=89)
Median age (range), years	75 (49, 90)
Male sex, n (%)	66 (74%)
ECOG performance status	
0 or 1, n (%)	78 (88%)
2, n (%)	11 (12%)
Body mass index ≥30 kg/m², n (%)	13 (15%)
Renal function based on creatinine clearance	
Normal/Mild decrease: ≥60 mL/min, n (%)	27 (30%)
Moderate decrease: ≥30 and <60 mL/min, n (%)	60 (67%)
Severe decrease: ≥15 and <30 mL/min, n (%)	2 (2%)
Primary tumor location	
Upper tract <sup>a</sup> , n (%)	38 (43%)
Bladder/other, n (%)	51 (57%)
Metastasis sites	
Lymph nodes only, n (%)	18 (20%)
Visceral disease <sup>b</sup> , n (%)	70 (79%)
Liver, n (%)	21 (24%)
Received prior PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in first line, n (%)	87 (98%)
Responder <sup>c</sup> to PD-1/PD-L1-containing therapy, n (%)	22 (25%)

Includes renal pelvis and ureter

Sites of visceral disease include liver, lung, intra-thoracic or intra-abdominal soft tissue, kidney, spleen, ovary, adrenal glands, and bone Responses were investigator reported

# **Updated Best Overall Response by BICR**

ORR per RECIST v 1.1 assessed by BICR	Patients (N=89), %
Confirmed ORR (95% Cl <sup>a</sup> )	51 (39.8, 61.3)
Best overall response <sup>♭</sup>	
Confirmed complete response	22
Confirmed partial response	28
Stable disease	30
Progressive disease	10
Not evaluable <sup>c</sup>	9

a. CI = Confidence Interval, computed using the Clopper-Pearson method
b. Best overall response according to RECIST v1.1. Complete response and partial response were confirmed with repeat scans ≥28 days after

initial response. c. Includes 5 patients who did not have response assessment post-baseline, 2 patients whose post-baseline assessment did not meet the minimum

interval requirement for stable disease, and 1 patient whose response cannot be assessed due to incomplete anatomy.

No. at Risk Cohort 2

Cohort Subgrou Overall Age ≥75 ye Femal Race White Non-w ECOG F 1–2 Bellmunt 0–1

Primary Upper Bladde Liver me Yes No Best res Respo Non-re PD-L1 e

No. at Risk

# **Updated Duration of Response per BICR**



### **Updated Objective Response Rate per BICR by Subgroup**

Cohort 2 Patients (N=89)					
Subgroup	n/N	ORR, % (95% CI)	% (95% CI)		
Overall	45/89	<b>⊢</b>	51 (39.8, 61.3)		
Age					
<75 years	24/43	<b>⊢−−−−</b> −−−−−	56 (39.9, 70.9)		
≥75 years	21/46	<b>⊢</b>	46 (30.9, 61)		
Sex					
Female	13/23	<b>⊢</b>	57 (34.5, 76.8)		
Male	32/66	<b>⊢</b>	48 (36, 61.1)		
Race					
White	28/62	<b>⊢</b>	45 (32.5, 58.3)		
Non-white	17/27	<b></b>	63 (42.4, 80.6)		
ECOG PS					
0	23/37	<b>┝────</b>	62 (44.8, 77.5)		
1–2	22/52	<b>⊢−−−−</b>	42 (28.7, 56.8)		
Bellmunt risk score					
0–1	33/66	<b>⊢−−−−</b> 4	50 (37.4, 62.6)		
≥2	12/23	<b>├</b> ── <b>──</b> ──┥	52 (30.6, 73.2)		
Primary tumor sites					
Upper tract	22/38	<b>⊢</b>	58 (40.8, 73.7)		
Bladder/Other	23/51	<b>⊢</b>	45 (31.1, 59.7)		
Liver metastasis					
Yes	9/21	F	43 (21.8, 66)		
No	36/68	<b>⊢</b>	53 (40.4, 65.2)		
Best response to prior C	CPI				
Responder	14/22	<b>⊢−−−−</b>	64 (40.7, 82.8)		
Non-responder	31/67	<b>⊢</b>	46 (34, 58.9)		
PD-L1 expression					
CPS <10	27/53	<b>⊢−−−−</b> −−	51 (36.8, 64.9)		
CPS ≥10	13/27	<b>├─────</b> ──	48 (28.7, 68.1)		
	0	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80			

#### Responses were observed across all subgroups, including patients:

- with primary tumor sites in the upper tract (ORR=58%)
- with liver metastasis (ORR=43%)
- who did not respond to prior PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors (ORR=46%)

### **Updated Progression-Free Survival per BICR**



# **Updated Overall Survival**



# TRAEs in ≥

- (≥Grade 3 **Overall TRA** Alopecia Periphera Fatigue Decrease Pruritus Rash ma Dysgeus Weight de Anemia
- Diarrhea Nausea
- Neutrope
- Hypergly Lipase in

# These safety data are consistent with the primary analysis and the previously reported safety profile of EV

# Any grade, ≥Grade 3, % Median ons Resolution/i

# **Skin Reactions**

- adverse reactions<sup>d</sup> Most ≤Grade 2, no Grade 4 or 5 events

- 1 treatment discontinuation due to Grade
- 3 dermatitis bullous (23% vs. 8%) a. Events categorized based on queries for related MedDRA (Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities) terms v. 23.0
  b. Most occurred in Cycle 1
- care measures

# **Summary/Conclusions**

- subgroups
- Activity demonstrated in EV-201 Cohort 2 builds upon the results shown in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor and platinum-treated patients in EV-301 These data support inclusion of enfortumab vedotin in the treatment of

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event(s); BICR=Blinded Independent Central Review; BMI=body mass index; CI=Confidence Interval; CPI=checkpoint inhibitor: CPS=combined positive score: DOR=duration of response: IV=intravenous: ECOG PS=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; HG=hyperglycemia; ORR=objective response rate; OS=overall survival; PD=progressive disease; PD-1/PD-L1=programmed cell death protein 1/programmed death-ligand 1; PFS=progression-free survival; PN=peripheral neuropathy; RECIST=Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; TRAE=Treatment-Related Adverse Event

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO<sup>®</sup> and the author of this poster. Bradley McGregor, Bradley\_McGregor@dfci.harvard.edu

# **Treatment-Related Adverse Events (TRAEs)**

0% of patients (any Grade) or ≥5%	Patients (N=89), n (%)		
	Any Grade	≥Grade 3	
Es	86 (97)	49 (55)	
	45 (51)	-	
sensory neuropathy	44 (49)	3 (3)	
	30 (34)	6 (7)	
l appetite	29 (33)	5 (6)	
	27 (30)	3 (3)	
ulo-papular	27 (30)	7 (8)	
	25 (28)	-	
creased	23 (26)	1 (1)	
	22 (25)	5 (6)	
	20 (22)	5 (6)	
	20 (22)	1 (1)	
ia	11 (12)	8 (9)	
emia	8 (9)	5 (6)	
reased	7 (8)	5 (6)	

• TRAEs led to discontinuations in 16% of patients

Peripheral sensory neuropathy was the most common reason (4%)

 4 deaths considered to be treatment-related by the investigator were previously reported and included:

• acute kidney injury, metabolic acidosis and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, occurred within 30 days of first dose in patients with BMI ≥30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

• pneumonitis, occurred >30 days of last dose

• all 4 deaths were confounded by age ( $\geq$ 75 years) and other comorbidities

# **Treatment-Related Adverse Events of Special Interest**<sup>a</sup>

	Skin Reactions	Peripheral Neuropathy	Hyperglycemia	
)	61	56	10	
	17	8	6	
t, months	0.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.7	0.5 <sup>b</sup>	
nprovement <sup>c</sup> , %	80	54	89	

These events represent composites of related adverse events.

- No Grade 5 events, 1 Grade 4 event
- 13 patients with severe cutaneous
- 4 patients with Grade 3 events: stomatitis,
- skin exfoliation. dermatitis bullous.
- dermatitis exfoliative generalised

Resolution/Improvement as of last follow-up
A range of skin reaction preferred terms, irrespective of grade

# AEs are generally treatable with proper dose modifications and supportive

 Cisplatin-ineligible patients need effective treatment options following immunotherapy • The efficacy and safety data in this updated analysis, with an additional 3 months of follow-up for EV-201 Cohort 2, are consistent with those of the Primary Analysis: 51% ORR, with a 22% complete response rate and consistent response rates across

13.8 months median duration of response

• Manageable safety profile in an elderly cisplatin-ineligible patient population

cisplatin-ineligible patients following immunotherapy and continued investigation of enfortumab vedotin in earlier disease settings



- **Peripheral Neuropathy (PN)**
- PN rate was similar in patients with and without pre-existing PN (60% vs. 55%)
- Hyperglycemia (HG) • Higher rate of HG in patients with
- pre-existing HG than those without pre-existing HG (20% vs. 7%)
- Higher rate of HG in patients with BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> than those with BMI <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>