Impact of Tucatinib on Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients with HER2+ Metastatic Breast Cancer with Brain Metastases

Andrew Wardley¹, Volkmar Mueller², Elisavet Paplomata³, Laurence Crouzet⁴, Nayyer Iqbal⁵, Sramila Aithal⁶, Margaret Block⁷, Soren Cold⁸, Marie-Agnes By⁹, Olwen Hahn¹⁰, Teja Poosarla¹¹, Erica Stringer-Reasor¹², Marco Colleoni¹³, David Cameron¹⁴, Giuseppe Curigliano¹⁵, Kendra DeBusk¹⁶, Muriel Siadak¹⁶, Jorge Ramos¹⁶, Wentao Feng¹⁶, Karen Gelmon¹⁷

⁵Saskatoon Cancer Centre, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada; ⁶Cancer Treatment Centers of America / Eastern Regional Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ¹⁰University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL, USA; ¹⁰University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL, USA; ¹⁰University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; ¹¹Mitchell Cancer Institute, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL, USA; ¹²Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA; ¹³European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy; ¹⁴Edinburgh, Scotland; ¹⁵European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, and University of Milano, Milan, Italy; ¹⁴Edinburgh, Scotland; ¹⁵European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, and University of Milano, Milan, Italy; ¹⁴Edinburgh, Scotland; ¹⁵European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, and University of Milano, Milan, Italy; ¹⁴Edinburgh, Scotland; ¹⁵European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, and University of Milano, Mila

Background

- Disease progression in metastatic breast cancer (MBC) can negatively impact quality of life (QoL).
- For patients with HER2+ MBC previously treated with trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), no single regimen is considered the standard of care.^{2,3}
- Up to 50% of patients with HER2+ MBC may develop brain metastases and effective and tolerable treatment options are needed.^{4–7}
- Patients with HER2+ MBC and brain metastases have an increased likelihood to have reduced health-related quality of life (HRQoL) compared to patients without brain metastases.⁸
- Tucatinib is a highly selective oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor of HER2 with minimal inhibition of EGFR.⁹
- The pivotal HER2CLIMB trial compared tucatinib (TUC) or placebo (Pbo), in combination with trastuzumab (Tras) and capecitabine (Cape), in patients with HER2+ MBC, with and without brain metastases, previously treated with trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and T-DM1.¹⁰
- Enrolled a large percentage of patients (48%; 291/612) with history or presence of brain metastases at baseline
- The addition of tucatinib resulted in clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvements in overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), and objective response rate (ORR) in patients with HER2+ MBC and was well tolerated with a manageable safety profile
- Tucatinib was approved by the FDA for patients with HER2+ MBC, including patients with brain metastases, whose cancers have progressed on at least one prior anti-HER2 regimen in the metastatic setting.
- Here we present an evaluation of the impact of tucatinib on HRQoL in patients with brain metastases

Study Design



Methods

Total Study Population

• 612 patients randomized 2:1 February 2016 to May 2019

HRQoL with Brain Metastases Study **Population**

- Assessments initiated in August 2017
- HRQoL data were available from 331 of 612 patients, including 164 patients with brain metastases:
- 107 patients in the tucatinib arm

57 patients in the placebo arm

HRQoL Assessments

- Overall health status: visual analog scale (VAS)
- Time to deterioration of QoL: defined as decrease of 7 points on VAS¹¹
- Change from baseline on individual patient-reported items
- Mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression
- Each dimension has 5 levels: no, slight, moderate, severe, or extreme problems

HER2CLIMB Primary Analysis Results¹⁰

- The HER2CLIMB trial met all primary and alpha-controlled secondary endpoints at the first interim analysis.
- Benefit was observed in patients with and without brain metastases.
- Median duration of exposure: Tucatinib 7.3 months (<0.1–35.1), Placebo 4.4 months (<0.1–24.0).

PFS by BICR N=480*	Overall Survival N=612	PFS by BICR in patients with brain metastases N=291		
Hazard Ratio: 0.54 95% CI: 0.42 to 0.71, P<0.001	Hazard Ratio: 0.66 95% CI: 0.50 to 0.88, P=0.005	Hazard Ratio: 0.48 95% CI: 0.34 to 0.69, P<0.001		
Risk of progression or death was reduced by 46%	Risk of death was reduced by 34%	Risk of progression or death was reduced by 52%		

PFS: progression-free survival; BICR: blinded independent central review *The primary endpoint of PFS was assessed in the first 480 patients enrolled

Baseline Patient Characteristics

Baseline characteristics were consistent between the total population, including total brain metastases population, and HRQoL brain metastases population.

		Total Study Population		Brain Metastases Population		HRQoL Population with Brain Metastases	
		TUC+Tras+Cape (N=410)	Pbo+Tras+Cape (N=202)	TUC+Tras+Cape (n=198)	Pbo+Tras+Cape (n=93)	TUC+Tras+Cape (n=107)	Pbo+Tras+Cape (n=57)
Age in years, media	n (range)	55.0 (22, 80)	54.0 (25, 82)	53 (22, 75)	52 (25, 75)	54 (22, 75)	52 (25, 75)
Female, n (%)		407 (99.3)	200 (99.0)	197 (99.5)	92 (98.9)	107 (100)	56 (98.2)
ECOG PS, n (%)	0	204 (49.8)	94 (46.5)	92 (46.5)	38 (40.9)	51 (47.7)	22 (38.6)
	1	206 (50.2)	108 (53.5)	106 (53.5)	55 (59.1)	56 (52.3)	35 (61.4)
Stage IV at initial dia	agnosis, n (%)	143 (34.9)	77 (38.1)	77 (38.9)	39 (41.9)	42 (39.3)	25 (43.9)
Hormone Receptor Status, n (%)	ER and/or PR positive	243 (59.3)	127 (62.9)	107 (54.0)	59 (63.4)	64 (59.8)	34 (59.6)
	ER and PR negative	161 (39.3)	75 (37.1)	88 (44.4)	34 (36.6)	41 (38.3)	23 (40.4)
Prior lines of therapy, median (range)	Overall	4 (2, 14)	4 (2, 17)	4 (2, 11)	3 (2, 17)	4 (2, 11)	3 (2, 12)
	Metastatic setting	3 (1, 14)	3 (1, 13)	2 (1, 11)	3 (1, 13)	2 (1, 11)	3 (1, 11)
Presence/history of	brain metastases, n (%)	198 (48.3)	93 (46)	198 (100)	93 (100)	107 (100)	57 (100)

Overall HRQoL in HRQoL Population with Brain Metastases

 HRQoL was maintained throughout treatment and was not noticeably different between treatment arms.



Time to Worsening (≥7 points) in EQ-5D-5L Health Score in HRQoL **Population with Brain Metastases**



	Events/Total	HR (95% CI)	Median (95% CI)
TUC+Tras+Cape	26/107	0.51	_ (−, −)
Pbo+Tras+Cape	20/56	(0.28, 0.93)	5.5 months (4.2, –)

- Addition of tucatinib significantly delayed time to worsening of EQ-5D-5L Health Score.
- Compared to the placebo arm, patients on the tucatinib arm had a 49% reduction in the risk of deterioration





- HRQoL.

References

- . Mueller V, et al. Breast 2018;37:154-160.
- 2. Giordano SH, et al. J Oncol Pract 2018;14:501-4. Cardoso F, et al. Ann Oncol 2018;29:1634-57
- 4. Bendell JC, et al. Cancer 2003;97:2972-7.
- 5. Brufsky AM, et al. Clin Cancer Res 2011;17:4834-43. 6. Leyland-Jones B. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:5278-86.

¹Manchester Breast Centre, Division of Cancer Sciences, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology Medicine & Health, University of Misconsin-Madison, WI, USA; ⁴Center Eugene Marquis, Rennes, France;

EQ-5D-5L Subscale Responses at Baseline and Up to 30 Days Follow-Up in HRQoL Population with Brain Metastases

• There was no noticeable change in the categories throughout the course of treatment. • Mobility, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and self-care worsened after treatment discontinuation.

Conclusions

• In HER2+ MBC patients with brain metastases, tucatinib in combination with trastuzumab and capecitabine demonstrates significantly longer and clinically meaningful time to deterioration of

Patients, including those with brain metastases, treated with tucatinib, trastuzumab, and capecitabine maintain HRQoL throughout the treatment period, which was longer compared to patients treated with only trastuzumab and capecitabine.¹⁰

These results, together with the HER2CLIMB primary analysis and the HRQoL analysis in the total population, demonstrate that this regimen improves PFS and OS, and maintains QoL in all patients, including those with brain metastases.

- . Olson EM, et al. Breast 2013;22:525-31
- . Hurvitz SA, et al. Clin Cancer Res 2019;25(8):2433-2441.
- 9. Kulukian A, et al., Molecular Cancer Therapeutics 19(4): 976-987; 2020. 10. Murthy RK, et al. N Engl J Med 2020;382:597-609.
- 11. Pickard AS, Neary MP, and Cella D. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2007;5:70.

This presentation is the intellectual property of the first author/presenter. Contact them at Andrew Wardley, Andrew.Wardley@manchester.ac.uk for permission to reprint and/or distribute. 