Abstract #5532: Factors Associated with Receipt of Second-Line Recurrent or Metastatic Cervical Cancer Treatment in the US: A Retrospective Administrative Claims Analysis

K. Sonawane^{1,2}, T. Castellano³, C. Washington⁴, A. Surinach⁵, C. Kirshner⁶, J. Ting⁷, J. Chhatwal^{8*}, *T. Ayer^{9,10}, K. Moore^{4*}

¹Center for Health Care Data, Department of Management, Policy & Community Health, UTHealth School of Public Health, Houston, TX, USA, ² Center for Health Services Research, UTHealth School of Public Health, Houston, TX, USA, ³ Louisiana State University, Department of Gynecologic Oncology, New Orleans, LA, USA, ⁴Stephenson Cancer Center at the University of Oklahoma HSC, Oklahoma, City, OK, USA, ⁵Genesis Research, Hoboken, NJ, USA, ⁶Value Analytics Labs, Boston, MA, USA, ⁷Seagen Inc., Bothell, WA, USA, ⁸Massachusetts General Hospital Institute for Technology Assessment, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ⁹Georgia Institute of Technology, Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Atlanta, GA, USA, ¹⁰Emory School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA. *Co-senior author

Plan type

HMO

Data source

Commercial

Index line contains

Comorbidity Score

Medicare

Bevacizumab

No

Yes

Charlson

Mean (SD)

CCI Categories

Non-HMO Plan

INTRODUCTION

- There is limited contemporary real-world data on the proportion of eligible recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer (r/mCC) patients receiving second-line (2L) treatment, following progression on first-line (1L) r/mCC systemic therapy.
- In addition, factors impacting initiation of 2L therapy are also not well-understood.
- · The objectives of this study were twofold:
- Estimate the proportion of patients initiating 2L therapy, among those with evidence of 1L treatment.
- Examine the predictors of 2L therapy initiation.

METHODS

- We conducted a retrospective cohort study using the 2015-2020 MarketScan® and Medicare Supplemental commercial claims database
- · Adult r/mCC patients had:
 - a) ≥1 inpatient claim or 2 outpatient claims with a diagnosis for malignant neoplasm of the cervix (identified by the International Classification of Diseases Codes 180.XX and C53.XX).
 - b) Utilization of ≥1 systemic therapy (indicative of 1L r/mCC treatment), beyond chemoradiation and surgery
- · Patients were excluded from the overall study if they:
 - a) were not continuously enrolled in a plan 3 months prior to and 12 months after end of 1L therapy (index date), or
 - b) had no claims occurring within 30 days of cervical cancer diagnosis
- A multivariable logistic regression model adjusted for independent variables examined factors associated with initiation of 2L treatment.

MAIN TAKEAWAY

- 51% of patients initiated subsequent treatment after end of first-line (1L) therapy for recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer (r/mCC).
- 2 Geographic area of residence and not having prior exposure to bevacizumab are correlated with lower likelihood of initiating subsequent therapy for r/mCC.

21 10.7% 22 11.7%

175 89.3% 166 88.3%

22 11.2% 25 13.3%

108 55.1% 121 64.4%

88 44.9% 67 35.6%

0.82 0.79 1.22 0.85 1.24

163 86.7%

RESULTS

0.87

0.64

0.08

0.66

0.74

- The final cohort comprised of 384 women; 196 (51.0%) patients initiated 2L therapy after 1L treatment (Table).
- The geographic location of the patient and prior exposure to bevacizumab were statistically significant predictors of initiating 2L therapy (Figure).
 - Patients from the South (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]=0.43 [95% CI: 0.23-0.84]) and Midwest (aOR=0.52 [95% CI: 0.28-0.95]) regions had a lower likelihood of initiating 2L therapies after 1L therapy, compared to those living in the Northeast.
 - Women who did not receive bevacizumab in 1L treatment were also less likely to initiate subsequent therapy (aOR=0.65 [95% CI:0.43-0.99]).

Figure: Odds ratio for initiating 2L r/mCC treatment Age Region (South vs North-East) Region (West vs North-East) Plan type (Non-HMO vs HMO) Group type (Medicare vs Commercial) Bevacizumab history (No vs. Yes) Charlson Comorbidity Index 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5

Abbreviations: 2L, second-line; 1L, first-line; r/mCC, recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer; HMO, Health maintenance organization.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Additional research and targeted outreach efforts are needed to understand geography-, population-, or practice-specific barriers impacting access to 2L r/mCC therapy.

Table: Demographic characteristics of 1L-treated r/mCC patients.

		Rece	eived 2L	Did Not Receive 2L		
Characteristics	Total	Ν	%	Ν	%	Р
Total	384	196	100	188	100	
Age at Index, years						0.85
Mean (SD)	54.5	54.6	10.6	54.4	12.1	
Median (Q1-Q3)	55.0	55.0	48.0- 62.0	55.0	47.5- 62.0	
Index Year						0.32
2015	100	57	29.1%	43	22.9%	
2016	78	40	20.4%	38	20.2%	
2017	82	45	23.0%	37	19.7%	
2018	68	30	15.3%	38	20.2%	
2019	56	24	12.2%	32	17.0%	
Region						0.08
Northeast	62	40	20.4%	22	11.7%	
Midwest	93	41	20.9%	52	27.7%	
South	183	90	45.9%	93	49.5%	
West	46	25	12.8%	21	11.2%	
Rurality						0.50
Non-metropolitan	43	24	12.2%	19	10.1%	
Metropolitan	3/11	172	88.8%	160	80.0%	

0	211	112	57.1%	99	5Z.1 %				
1	97	47	24.0%	50	26.6%				
2	40	18	9.2%	22	11.7%				
3+	36	19	9.7%	17	9.0%				
Abbreviations: 1L, first-line; r/mCC, recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer; HMO, Health maintenance organization; CCI, Charlson									

Comorbidity Index; AIDS, Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome

43

341

47

229

155

337 174 88.8%